

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,018	01/10/2002	Fumiteru Shingu	Patm.43	8186	
7590 08/26/2004			EXAMINER		
John H. Lynn			NI, SUHAN		
Suite C103 2915 Redhill Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Costa Mesa, CA 92626			2643		

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Art Unit ## Cammon   Art Un		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner   Suban Ni   2843	Advisory Action	10/044,018	SHINGU, FUMITER	U				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 08, July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ordition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)]  a) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. New York Howard, with exploration and the source of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP  TOWN THE BOX MINEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Theoretore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a interlegation under 37 CFR 1.13 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCDE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.14.  PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)    The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. No.Y. CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP OF THE PRIVAL REJECTION on event, however, with the statutory period for reply expire tast man SXM MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Only CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP OF THE PRIVAL REJECTION on the properties of the properties of the main SXM MONTHS (1) and the appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the final rejection. The properties of the main system of the final rejection of the final rejection, which he period of the final rejection of the final rej		Suhan Ni	2643					
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a innal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal foe), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  **PERIOD FOR REPLY** (check either a) or b)  **In the period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  **a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  **a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  **a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  **a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  **a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  **a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  **a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  **a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  **a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  **a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  **a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  **a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection and from the final rejection and from the final rejection and from the final period from the	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection, ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS for THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MFEP TOWN, the content of the state of the period of reply expires the state of the period of the state of the period of events on and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension the half of the state of the period of events on and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension the state of the state of the state of the period of events on and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension can develope the state of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) rejected to:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  Shape of the state of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  Shape of the state of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  Shape of the st	THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued							
the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the statutory period for reply expire later than SW MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 705.07(1).  Stensholms of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filted is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.178(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shertened statutory pend for reply originally set in the filted Clink action; or 2) as set forth in 0 above, if refered. Any reply recisived by the Correct of the shertened statutory pend for reply originally set in the filted Clink action; or 2) as set forth in 0 above, if refered. Any reply revised by the correct of the shertened statutory pend for reply originally set in the filted Clink action; or 2) as set forth in 9 above, if refered. Any reply recisived by the Correct of the shertened statutory pend for reply originally set in the filted Clink action; or 2) as set forth in 9 above, if refered and the correct of the shertened statutory pend for reply originally set the filted filted and the correct of the shertened set in the filted Clink action; or 2) as set forth in 9 above, if refered and the correct of the shertened set in the filted Clink action; or 37 CFR 1.138(a).  The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) \(  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (b) \( \text{ they are not deemed to place the application in conditional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: \( Place See next page	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☑ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: Please see next page.  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The a]☐ affidavit, b] ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Primary Examiner  10. ☐ Other:	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office of the content o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
(a) ∑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) ∑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ∑ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: Please see next page.  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The a ☐ affidavit, b ☐ exhibit, or c ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Suhan Ni Primary Examiner	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in fifther appeal.					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: Please see next page.  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Suhan Ni  Primary Examiner								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: Please see next page.  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:  The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Finally EXAMBLES: Paper No(s)  Primary Examiner	(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);					
issues for appeal; and/or  (d)	(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);						
NOTE: Please see next page.  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Suhan Ni Primary Examiner	• • •	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Suhan Ni Primary Examiner	(d)   they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	IS.				
4.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5.  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c⟩ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8.  The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Suhan Ni Primary Examiner	NOTE: Please see next page							
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Suhan Ni Primary Examiner	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
application in condition for allowance because:  6.		be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Suhan Ni Primary Examiner	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NO	T place the				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Suhan Ni Primary Examiner		ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8.	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	) will be entered on or appended.	and an				
Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8.  The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10.  Other:  Suhan Ni PRIMARY EXAMINE: Primary Examiner	• •							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other:  Suhan Ni Primary Examiner	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   10. Other:   Suhan Ni  PRIMARY EXAMINED	. , .							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other:  SUHAN NI  PRIMARY EXAMINED  Primary Examiner			0 =					
10. Other: SUHAN NI Suhan Ni PRIMARY EXAMINED Primary Examiner			_					
SUMAN NI Suhan Ni PRIMARY EXAMINED Primary Examiner	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·(`					
PRIMARY EXAMINED Primary Examiner	10. Other:	$\leq l_{1}$	Mi					
			Primary Examiner					

## **Advisory Action**

1. This communication is responsive to the after-final amendment dated 07/08/2004.

## Response

2. The newly proposed amendment has been fully considered, but it does not to be persuasive for placing the application in the condition for allowance.

The cited reference (U. S. Pat. - 5,249,236) does clearly show a damper (1-2) for loudspeakers, comprising a damper body (1) having corrugations, an adhesive agent (8) applied to one surface of the damper body, and tubular knitted tinsel cords (2) bonded to the damper body through the adhesive agent. But Sakamoto does not specially teach the details of the adhesive agent as claimed. Since providing a suitable, especially, commercially available adhesive agent having a desirable viscoelasticity for bonding the conductive tinsel cords to the damper of the speaker is very well known in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a suitable and commercially available adhesive agent, such as BOND-7 for assembling the loudspeaker, and further, with desirable adhesive agent for making the loudspeaker more durable.

Regarding claims, the applicants argue no motivation to combine the references. It is not necessary that the references actually suggest, expressly or in so many words the changes or improvements that applicants have made. The test for combining references is what the references as whole would have suggested to one of ordinary skilled in the art. In re Sheckler, 168 USPQ 716 (CCPA 1971); In re Mlaughlin 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971); In re Young 159 USPQ 715 (CCPA 1968).

Application/Control Number: 10/044,018

Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

As to the combination of cited references has failed to teach applicants' claimed invention, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The combination of these references teaches the recited claim limitations.

SN

Sukan ni Primary examiner

August 23, 2004